Bibliographic Cite	PMID Link	Literature Type	Level of Evidence	Purpose	Population	Intervention and Outcome Measures	Results/ Recommendations	Study Limitiations
Ahmed D, Rodrigues DM, Nguyen GC. Nagnetic Besonance Imaging of the Small Kowel in Crohn Y Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta- Analysis. Can J Gastreenterol Hepatol. 2016;2016:7857352.	<u>27446869</u> 9 I	Systematic Review	Moderate level of evidence	To analyze the use of MR in detecting small bowel activity as well as extramural complications in Crohn's patients.	1020 Crohn's patients were included.	There were 21 included studied, of which 19 were included in the poole analysis of the 13 analysis of the 13 studies (1020 patients) with raw data revealed a sansitivity of 0.88 (555: 0.0.85; 0.0.1) and specificity was 0.88 (555: 0.0.84; 0.0.93). Ju and specificity was 0.88 (555: 0.0.84; 0.0.93). Ju and specificity stronals, poolde sansitivity was 0.65; (555: 0.0.53; 0.0.76) and specificity was 0.93 (955: 0.10.89; to 0.96).	MR imaging provides a reliable alternative in detecting small howed activity in patients with Crohn's disease. Its advantages include high diagonatic accuracy and no radiation exposure while its disadvantages include high cost and limited availability.	The analysis revealed fairly high specificity in detecting stenosis, but only moderate sensitivity. Some of the limitations of our study include the varied length of time between the reference standard and MR imaging. Similarly, due to the small number of studies, we even calable to determine whether more advanced MR (such as MR with 3.0 T magnetic field strength) had any additional benefit. Finally, the large heter ogenority amongst the studies, including reference standards, radiologists experience, and results, suggests that more definitive studies might still be required.
Baolei G, Can C, Peng L, et al. Molecular imaging of abdominal andtric aneurynsw with bositron emission tomorgraphy: A systematic review. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2021; 62(6):969-980.	<u>34696984</u>	Systematic Review	Low level of evidence	To determine the role of PET in predicting the prognosis of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA).	A total of 11 articles were retained and included in this review. Of the 11 studies, four were prospective, four were prospective but included retrospective growth data, how were retrospective, and one did not darfy its degin. Sample sizer arged from 14 to 151 participants, giving a total sample size of 508 individuals. The proportion of male participants was 91% and the mean or median age ranged from 68 to 78 years.	Two authors independently performed the study search, data extraction, and quality assessment following a standard method. Of the 11 studies included in this review, nine used SHE fluorodoexgulpusc (18:FRO) FET and computed tomography (C1) imaging, whereas the remaining two used 18:Fsodum (Included 18: RAF) PET/CT and 18:FGD PET/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). One study was rated as low risk of bias, three studies were rated as moderate, and seven studies were rated as high.	Six studies found no significant association or correlation, and two studies found a significant negative correlation between 18F-FOG uptake and AAA expansion. Additionally, one study found that the 18F-FOG uptake was statistically positively related to the expansion rate in a specific AAA subgroup wholese AAAA expanded significanity. Two studies suggested that increased 18F-FOG uptake was significantly that AAA repair, while the other studies either found no association between 18F-FOG uptake and AAAA rupture or repair failed to report the occurrence of clinical events. One PET/CT study that used 18F-NaF as a tracer showed that an increased tracer uptake was significantly associated with AAA growth and clinical events. Finally, the 18F FOG VeT/MRI study indicated that 18F-FOG uptake was not significantly correlated with AAA expansion.	All the studies in this review were observational designs with a small sample size, characteristics which can often produce mileading results. Moreover, the majority showed a high risk of bias in study confounding, probably due to their small sample size, which make it impossible to perform anultivariable analysis to adjust for the impact of confounders on the statistical analysis results.
D'Souza N, Hicks G, Beable R, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;	<u>34905621</u>	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	High level of evidence	To determine the dagnostic accuracy of MRI for detecting appendicitis in all	Included were studies that compared the outcome of an MRI scan for suspected appendicitis with a reference standard of	Three study team members independently filtered search results for eligible studies. Authors independently extracted study data and assessed study quality using the	Estimates of sensitivity ranged from 0.18 to 1.0; estimates of specificity ranged from 0.4 to 1.0. Summary sensitivity was 0.95 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.94 to 0.97); summary specificity was 0.96 (95% CI 0.95 to 0.97). Sensitivity and specificity remained high on	The significant limitation to the review was the overall methodological weakness of the included studies and low standards of reporting. Although concern for applicability was low, risk of bias was high in the assessment. Essentially, this
appendicitis - Cold a and Gatadade Syst Rev. 2021; 12(12):CD012028.		vieta-anarysis		oececung appendictus in an patients.	appendixto with a retreende standard of histology, intraceptative findings, cor clinical follow-up, A total of S8 studies with sufficient data for meta-analysis were included, including a total of 7462 participants (1980 with and 5482 without acute appendicitis).	end actes Study data and and seaseds study datin yong une Quality Assessment of Studies of Diagotot Accuracy - Revised (QUADAS-2) tool. Authors also used the bivariate model to calculate pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity.	specificity was 0.59 (35 × 0.105 × 0.05 /). Sensitivity and specificity femalinet ingrin subgroup analysis for preparal women (essitivity 0.26 (5% Cl.0.38 to 0.99); specificity 0.39 (5% Cl.0.55 to 0.38); 12 studies, 2282 women); children (ensitivity 0.36 (5% Cl.0.35 to 0.37); specificity 0.36 (5% Cl.0.36 to 0.38); 12 studies, 7248 Alforder); and addits garicipant); a well a adirent scansating techniques. In a hypothetical contor of 1000 patients, there would be 12 false-positive results and 30 false-negative results. Methodological quality of the included studies was poor, and the risk of bias was high or unclear in 53% to 83% of the QUADAS-2 domains.	appreciating was low, risk to due was high in the assessment calculation, the means that while these studies were conducted within a relevant clinical setting using typical patients with suspected appendicits, the summary estimates may not be representative of the accuracy of MRI for diagnosing appendicits in clinical practice.
Fernando SM, Tran A, Cheng W, et al. Accuracy of presenting symptoms, physical examination, and imaging for diagnosis of roptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: Systematic review and meta- analysis. Acad Emerg Med. 2022; 29(4):486-496.		Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Moderate level of evidence	To evaluate the accuracy of presenting symptoms, physical examination signs, computed tomography with angiography (TA), and point-of-are ultrasound point-of-are ultrasound (PoCUS) for diagnosis of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm (rAAA).	Included were 20 studies (2,077 patients), with 11 of these evaluating signs and symptoms, seven vealuating CTA, and five evaluating PoCUS.	The primary reference standard used in al studies was intraoperative diagnois or death from rAA. Because PACUS cannot detect rupture, autors secondarily assessed its accuracy for the diagnosis of AAL, using the reference standard of intraoperative or CTA diagnosis. The authors used GRADE to assess certainty in estimates.	Pooled sensitivities of abdominal pain, back gain, and syncope for rAAA were 61.7%, 53.6%, and 27.8%, respectively (low certainty). Pooled sensitivity of hypotension and pulsatile abdominal mass were 30.9% and 7.1%, respectively (low certainty). CTA had a sensitivity of 91.4% and specificity of 93.6% for diagnosis of rAAA (moderate certainty). In the secondary analysis, PoCUS and a sensitivity of 97.2% and specificity of 97.0% for diagnosing AAA in patients) suspected of having rAAA (moderate certainty). The authors conclude that classic clinical symptoms associated with rAAA have poor sensitivity, and their absence does not rule out the condition. CTA has reasonable accuracy, but misses some cases of rAAA. PoCUS is a valuable tool that can help guide the need for urgent transfer to a vascular center in patients suspected of having rAAA.	This review also has limitations. Most importantly, because the majority of studies in our review only recruited patients with confirmed rAAA (i.e., only positive cases), we were unable to accurately generate a pooled incidence of rAAA mone ED patients presenting with abdominal pain, nor were we able to perform a test-treatment threshold analysis. Second, we evaluated these various tools independently. In practice, however, providers typically use combinations of signs and tests to arrive at a diagnoss. Third, our methodolgic approach was limited by a lack of available data, and while we preferred to use the bivariate HSROC model, this was not possible in all instances, and univariate analyses had to be performed instead in some cases. Fourth, there was evidence of statistical heterogeneity, as evidenced by a higher I <sup>°</sup> value for some meta-analyses.
Kabir SA, Kabir SJ, Sun R, et al. How to diagnose an acutely inflamed appendix, a systematic review of the latest evidence. Int J Surg. 2017;40:155-62.	<u>28279749</u> 1	Systematic Review	Moderate level of evidence	To systematically report and analyse the latest evidence on the different approaches used in diagnosing appendicitis.	The study included ultimate diagnoses of appendicts. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 58 studies were selected for final review.	Two independent researchers screened title and abstracts, 3223 articles were considered irrelevant. A third independent revewer reviewed equivacia cases. Selections were based on the PRISMA Flow methodology. Includes studies comprised of randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, retrospective studies, case series and case reports.	In summary, in adults, raised Alvarado scores and laboratory markers (WCC, CRP) all contribute to the suspicion of appendicitis. When alone, none of them are able to predict the diagnosis in a valid or reliable way. Subsequent surgical intervention should therefore note based on either of them alone. However, when used in combination they show greater promise. A precise algorithm for the diagnosis of appendicitis based on a combination of these variables will prove to be useful. We believe also that many novel markers will be adopted and utilised successfully in the future. Further research is warranted to determine the effectiveness of these markers, and to continue searching for undiscovered potential markers. CT remains the best radiological modality for diagnosing appendicitis but radiation exposure and long-term cancer risks are a major concern. The use of USS-MRI pathways increases diagnostic certainty without always having to expose unclear cases to radiation. The alternative use of repeat USS may reach a sensitivity of 100%. The precise sequence and threshold for imaging pathways remains are yet to be determined.	NA
Rud B, Vejborg TS, Rappeport ED, et al. Computed tomography for diagnosis of acute	<u>31743429</u>	Systematic Review	High level of evidence	CT for diagnosing	Authors included prospective studies that compared results of CT versus outcomes of a	Two review authors independently screened and selected studies for inclusion. Two review authors then	to 1.0 across the 71 study populations. Summary sensitivity was 0.95 (95% confidence	data collection was conducted prospectively or retrospectively. In most of these
appendicitis in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019; Nov 19; 2019(11):CD009977.				appendicitis in adults with suspected appendicitis. Secondary objectives were to compare the accuracy of contrast-enhanced CT, to compare the accuracy of low-doev everus standard- dose CT, and to explore the influence of CT-scanner generation, radiologist experience, degree of clinical suspicion of appendicitis, and aspects of methodological quality on diagnostic accuracy.	reference standard in adults (> 14 years of age) with suggeted appendicits. We excluded studies recruiting only pregnant women; studies in persons with abdominal pain at any location and with ne particular suppicion of appendicitis; studies in which all participants had undergone ultrasonography (US) befors CT and the decision to perform CT depended on the US outcome; studies using a case-control design; studies with fewer than 10 participants; and studies that id not report the numbers of true-positives, false- partixe, false-regatives, and true-negatives. Authors identified 64 studies including 71 separate study opulations with a total of 10,280 participants (4583 with and 5697 without acute appendicitis).	independently collected the data from each study and evaluated metodological quality according to the Cuality Assessment of Studies of Diagnostic Accuracy. Hervised (QUADAS-2) to Lobariate random-fects model was used to obtain summary estimates of sensitivity and specificity.	Interval (C) 0.33 to 0.96), and summary specificity was 0.94 (65% Cl 0.92 to 0.95). At the median prevalence of appendicits (0.43), the probability of having appendicits following a positive C result was 0.92 (65% Cl 0.92 to 0.94), and the probability of having appendicits following a positive C result was 0.92 (65% Cl 0.92 to 0.94), and the probability of having appendicits following a negative C result was 0.94 (65% Cl 0.03 to 0.05), In subgroup analyses according to contrast enhancement, summary sensitivity was higher for CT with intravenous contrast (0.96, 95% Cl 0.92 to 0.93). Cl with rest cal contrast (0.97, 95% Cl 0.93 to 0.93) and Cf with intravenous and oral contrast enhancement (0.95, 95% Cl 0.93 to 0.93) and and Cf with intravenous and oral contrast enhancement (0.95, 95% Cl 0.93 to 0.93) and practically no differences in summary specificity, which varied from 0.93 (55% Cl 0.00 to 0.95) to 0.95 (10.05 (10.95 Cl 0.03 to 0.93), summary sumitivity for the values of practically no differences in summary specificity, which varied from 0.93 (55% Cl 0.00 to 0.00 to 0.95 (10.05) (65% Cl 0.03 to 0.09) and unenhanced CT was similar. Results show practically no differences in summary specificity which varied from 0.93 (55% Cl 0.03 to 0.09) and sandard-dose or unspecified dose CT. Not studies had high methodological quality as evaluated by the QUADeS-2 to 0.10 km/or methodological problems were now reference standard-dose or unspecified dose CT. Not studies had high methodological quality as evaluated by the QUADeS-2 to 0.10 km/or methodological problems were now reference standard-dose or unspecified dose CT. Not studies had high methodological quality and specificity of CT for diagnosing appendicitis in adults are high. Unenhanced standard-dose CT appears thave lower semitivity than tandard-dose CT with intravenous, rectal, or oral and intravenous contrast enhancement.	situations, authors contacted the corresponding author and excluded the study if they received no regiv. However, for some studies, judgments may have been too liberal. In general, they accepted studies as having prospective data collection if study authors used the term 'prospective' or 'conscutute' to characterise the data collection, and if they found no clear-cut evidence to suggest the contrary. Another limitation was that authors did not distinguish thevere nuccomplicated and complicated acute appendicitis as separate target conditions.

		n						1
Taylor MR, Lalani N. Adult small bowel obstruction. Acad Emerg Med. 2013;20(6):528- 44.	23758299	Meta-Analysis; Review	Moderate level of evidence	The primary objective was to perform asystematic review and meta-analysis of the history, physical examination, and imaging modalities associated with the diagnosis of (small bowel obstruction)SBO. The secondary objectives were to identify the prevalence of SBO in prospective ED- based studies of adult abdominal pain and to apply Pauker and Kassirer's threshold approach to chincal designom making to the diagnosis and management of SBO	To be included in this review, prospective studies were required to have 1) beadied US performed by EPs, 2) enrollment of adult patients with symptoms/igens guegestive of AAAs, and 3) comparison/confirmation of results. We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE with the PubMed interface for articles from 1056 through November 2011 (see Appendix A for complete MEDLINE and EMBASE search Strategies). We asso searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and the assistance of a medical librarian. Review of AAA. The searches were conducted with the assistance of a medical librarian. Review of authors (E4 and MA) and diagreements were adjudicated by a third author (RS). Bibliographies of the included articles were also reviewed.	diagnostic test. Separate information on the prevalence	10-mm slices, 95% Cl = 2.3 to 5.4) and 6.77 (95% Cl = 2.13 to 21.55), respectively. Although limited to only a select number of studies, the use of ultrasound (US) was determined to be a superior to all other imaging modalities, with a +LR of 14.1 (95% Cl = 3.57 to 55.66) and a	studies relating to S80 diagnostics were missed given the strategy of our search. Second, we limited our searches to generalized S80 in adults and therdore our meta-analysis. The quality of the studies in this meta-analysis was highly variable and was subject to several blass. Eventual divide outcome is faught with blas, however, as many variables could play into what utimately happens to a patient in the hospital. One of the limitations to the pooled meta-analysis groups is the large heterogeneity seen in the studies. Some of this was controlled for but removing certain outliers, but was not always completely eliminated. The nature of diagnostic imaging studies, special (C 1 sans, Indie Steff to heterogeneity given the very wide range of muchines and scanning techniques used, as well as the tools used for interpretation. Furthermore, the benefits and risks of NG placement did not take into account patient preference or pain relief and were derived from lower-quality, potentially blased primary studies. Risk of blas - one or more key results (state which ones in the comments section) were based on studies with a majoris. Since the studies included in this meta-analysis No test for heterogeneity mays performed on all of the studies is not is impossible to kow if it exists in this analysis.
Wu LM, Xu JR, Gu HY, et al. Is magnetic resonance imaging a reliable diagnostic tool in the evaluation of active Crohn's disease in the small bower? J Clin Gastroenterol. 2013;47(4):328-38.	23340059	Meta-Analysis; Review	Moderate level of evidence	To evaluate the overall diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) assessing the activity of Crohn's disease (CD) in the small bowel.	An electronic search yielded 630 primary studies, of which 601 were excluded after reviewing the title and abstract. Twelve articles were excluded after reviewing the full article. Therefore, a total of 17 studies (19 populations) with 725 patients, who fulfilled all of the inclusion criteria, were considered for the analysis.	Two reviewers searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, and other electronic databases to identify studies in which MRI imaging was evaluated for assessing the activity of CD in the small bower from January 2001 to Spetmeber 2011. Bivariate random effects metaanalytic methods were used to estimate ummary, sensitivity, specificity, and receiver operating characteristic curves.	MRI had a pooled sensitivity of 0.87 (95% confidence interval (C): 0.77, 0.93) and a pooled sensitivity of 0.91 (95% C1: 0.81, 0.96). Overall, likelihood ratio (LR)+ was 9.5 (95% C1: 4.4, 20.6) and LR was 0.14 (95% C1: 0.80, 0.26). In patients with high pretext probabilities, MRI enabled confirmation of active CD, in patients with buy pretext probabilities, MRI enabled confirmation of active CD, in patients with buy pretext probabilities, MRI enabled confirmation of active CD, worst-case-scenario (pretext probability, 50%) posttest probabilities were 90% and 13% for positive and megative MRI results, respectively. The authors conclude that a limited number of small studies suggest that MRI has high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of active CD. In the small bowel, MRI will well so prove to be suitable as the primary modality for active CD imaging surveiliance.	The authors report several possible limitations. Authors attempted to examine publication bias using the Deeks funnel plot, and no publication bias was found. However, potential publication bias may still exist, because small studies with optimistic results may be published more easily than small studies with unfavorable results. Moreover, only included studies published in English, which implit invoke these scaled "Tower of Baber" bias, which refers to the fact that investigators working in a language other than English could be sending only studies with positive results to interantional journals: Furthermore, the interpretation of MRI scans was performed qualitatively in the majority of the subides, and binding in 4 studies was ther unclear or absent. So there is a risk of subjective interpretation, but it is more likely to be in favor of MRI, and its diagnostic accuracy might be even lower.
Yoon HM, Suh CH, Cho YA, et al. The diagnostic performance of reduced-dose CT for suspected appendicitis in paediatric and adult patients: A systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. 2018; 28(6):2537-2548.	29327290	Systematic Review and Meta-analysis	Moderate level of evidence	To evaluate the diagnostic performance of reduced- dose CT for suspected appendicitis.	Fourteen original articles with a total of 3,262 patients were included. Studies or subsets of studies that investigated the diagnostic performance of reduced-dose CT for suspected appendicits in paediatric and adult patients were eligible for inclusion in the analysis. Studies were excluded if any of following criteria were met: (10,2000 erioria)s, reviews, meta-analyses, consensus statements and guidelines; (3) studies that focused on topics other than using reduced- dose CT for evaluating suspected appendicits; (4) studies with insufficient data for reduced-dose CT for suspected appendicits; and (5) existence of studies with partially overlapping patient populations.	dose CT for suspected appendicitis in paediatric and adult	For all studies using reduced-dose CT, the summary sensitivity was 96 % (95 % C1 93–98) with a summary specificity of 94 % (95 % C1 92–95). For the 11 studies providing a head-to-head comparison between reduced-dose CT and standard-dose CT, reduced-dose CT demonstrated a comparable summary sensitivity of 96 % (95 % C1 93–98) and specificity of 94 % (95 % C1 93–96) without any significant differences (p-43). In meta- regression, there were no significant differences (p-43). In meta- regression, there were no significant differences (p-43). In meta- regression, there were no significant discos affecting the heterogeneity. The median effective radiation dose of the reduced-dose CT was 18 m/s (1.46–4.16 m/s), which was a 78 % reducion in effective radiation dose compared to the standard-dose CT. The automs conclude that reduced-dose CT shows excellent diagnostic performance for suspected appendicitis.	First, nine of 14 included studies were retrospective, resulting in a high risk of bias in patient selection. Second, the decision threshold of indeterminate cases was considered as positive in eight studies and negative in one study, and not reported in four studies.