## Coronary Artery Disease AUC evidence project – Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument

AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Domain Topics	Litmanovich et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® chronic chest pain-high probability of coronary artery disease. 2021.	Fihn et al - 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/ AATS/PCNA/ SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease. Circulation. 2012; 126(25):3097-3137.	Ghoshhajra et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® asymptomatic patient at risk for coronary artery disease. American College of Radiology, 2020.	Goff et al - 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk. Circulation. 2014; 129(25 Suppl 2):S49-S73.	Greenland et al - 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 56(25):e50-e103.	Greenland et al - ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain. Circulation. 2007; 115(3):402-426.	Knuuti et al - 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2020; 41(3):407- 477.
Total Score EXCLUDING overall assessment score		108	136	108	126.5	126	108	116
Scaled Total Score Excluding overall assessment score		62%	82%	62%	75%	75%	62%	67%
Overall Assessment	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: The overall assessment score is not included in the total score	4.5	6	4.5	5.5	5.5	4.5	5
Scope and Purpose	Domain Score	15.5	19	15.5	17	17.5	15.5	15
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	69%	89%	69%	78%	81%	69%	67%
Stakeholder Involvement	Domain Score	12.5	18	14	16	16	14	15
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	53%	83%	61%	72%	72%	61%	67%
Rigor of Development	Domain Score	40.5	47.5	41	46	46.5	39	40
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	68%	82%	69%	79%	80%	65%	67%
Clarity and Presentation	Domain Score	19	19	17.5	19	15.5	14.5	18.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	89%	89%	81%	89%	69%	64%	86%
Applicability	Domain Score	11	19.5	12.5	18	17.5	15	18
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	29%	65%	35%	58%	56%	46%	58%
Editorial Independence	Domain Score	9.5	13	7.5	10.5	13	10	9.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	63%	92%	38%	71%	92%	67%	63%

\*Mean guidelines scores across domains of the AGREE II instrument. Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

For use only in connection with development of AUC by the RAYUS Quality Institute's Multidisciplinary Committee

09/12/2023

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument

AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Domain Topics	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Recent-onset chest pain of suspected cardiac origin: Assessment and diagnosis. NICE guideline CG95. 2010 (updated November 2016).	Schindler et al - Appropriate use criteria for PET myocardial perfusion imaging. J Nucl Med. 2020; 61(8):1221-1265.	Shah et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® chronic chest pain-noncardiac etiology unlikely-low to intermediate probability of coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018; 15(115):5283-5290.	White et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® suspected new-onset and known nonacute heart failure. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018; 15(115):S418-S431.	Winchester et al – ACC/AHA 2023 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of chronic coronary disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2023; 81(25):2445- 2467.	Gulati et al – AHA/ACC guideline for the evaluation and diagnosis of chest pain. Circulation. 2021; 144(22):e368-e454.
Total Score EXCLUDING overall assessment score		148.5	115	104.5	104	123	123
Scaled Total Score Excluding overall assessment score		91%	67%	59%	59%	72%	72%
Overall Assessment	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: The overall assessment score is not included in the total score	6.5	5	4.5	4.5	5	5.5
Scope and Purpose	Domain Score	19.5	17	16	15	18	19.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	92%	78%	72%	67%	83%	92%
Stakeholder Involvement	Domain Score	19	16.5	13.5	13.5	18	17
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	89%	75%	58%	58%	83%	78%
Rigor of Development	Domain Score	52.5	39	38.5	39	35.5	40.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	93%	65%	64%	65%	57%	68%
Clarity and Presentation	Domain Score	20.5	17.5	16.5	16.5	19.5	19
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	97%	81%	75%	75%	92%	89%
Applicability	Domain Score	24.5	15.5	12.5	12.5	19	16
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	85%	48%	35%	33%	63%	50%
Editorial Independence	Domain Score	12.5	9.5	7.5	7.5	13	11
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	86%	63%	46%	46%	92%	75%

\*Mean guidelines scores across domains of the AGREE II instrument. Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

For use only in connection with development of AUC by the RAYUS Quality Institute's Multidisciplinary Committee

09/12/2023