

## Coronary Artery Disease AUC evidence project – Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

### Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument

AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Domain Topics	Akers et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® chronic chest pain-high probability of coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017; 14(5S):S71-S80.	Fihn et al - 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease. Circulation. 2012; 126(25):3097-3137.	Ghoshhajra et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® asymptomatic patient at risk for coronary artery disease. American College of Radiology, 2020.	Goff et al - 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk. Circulation. 2014; 129(25 Suppl 2):S49-S73.	Greenland et al - 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 56(25):e50-e103.	Greenland et al - ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain. Circulation. 2007; 115(3):402-426.	Knuuti et al - 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2020; 41(3):407-477.
<b>Total Score EXCLUDING overall assessment score</b>		105	136	108	126.5	126	108	116
<b>Scaled Total Score Excluding overall assessment score</b>		59%	82%	62%	75%	75%	62%	67%
<b>Overall Assessment</b>	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
<b>Overall Assessment Score</b>	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) <b>NOTE:</b> The overall assessment score is not included in the total score	4.5	6	4.5	5.5	5.5	4.5	5
<b>Scope and Purpose</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	15.5	19	15.5	17	17.5	15.5	15
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	69%	89%	69%	78%	81%	69%	67%
<b>Stakeholder Involvement</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	13.5	18	14	16	16	14	15
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	58%	83%	61%	72%	72%	61%	67%
<b>Rigor of Development</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	39.5	47.5	41	46	46.5	39	40
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	66%	82%	69%	79%	80%	65%	67%
<b>Clarity and Presentation</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	16	19	17.5	19	15.5	14.5	18.5
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	72%	89%	81%	89%	69%	64%	86%
<b>Applicability</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	13	19.5	12.5	18	17.5	15	18
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	38%	65%	35%	58%	56%	46%	58%
<b>Editorial Independence</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	7.5	13	7.5	10.5	13	10	9.5
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	38%	92%	38%	71%	92%	67%	63%

\*Mean guidelines scores across domains of the AGREE II instrument. Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

### Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument

AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Domain Topics	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Recent-onset chest pain of suspected cardiac origin: Assessment and diagnosis. NICE guideline CG95. 2010 (updated November 2016).	Schindler et al - Appropriate use criteria for PET myocardial perfusion imaging. J Nucl Med. 2020; 61(8):1221-1265.	Shah et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® chronic chest pain-noncardiac etiology unlikely-low to intermediate probability of coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018; 15(11S):S283-S290.	Vogel-Claussen et al – ACR Appropriateness Criteria® dyspnea-suspected cardiac origin. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017; 14(5S):S127-S137.	White et al - ACR Appropriateness Criteria® suspected new-onset and known nonacute heart failure. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018; 15(11S):S418-S431.	Wolk et al - ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63(4):380-406.
<b>Total Score EXCLUDING overall assessment score</b>		148.5	115	104.5	101	104	124.5
<b>Scaled Total Score Excluding overall assessment score</b>		91%	67%	59%	53%	59%	74%
<b>Overall Assessment</b>	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
<b>Overall Assessment Score</b>	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) <b>NOTE:</b> The overall assessment score is not included in the total score	6.5	5	4.5	4	4.5	5.5
<b>Scope and Purpose</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	19.5	17	16	14.5	15	17.5
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	92%	78%	72%	64%	67%	81%
<b>Stakeholder Involvement</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	19	16.5	13.5	12.5	13.5	17
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	89%	75%	58%	53%	58%	78%
<b>Rigor of Development</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	52.5	39	38.5	38	39	41.5
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	93%	65%	64%	63%	65%	70%
<b>Clarity and Presentation</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	20.5	17.5	16.5	16.5	16.5	19
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	97%	81%	75%	75%	75%	89%
<b>Applicability</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	24.5	15.5	12.5	12	12.5	19.5
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	85%	48%	35%	33%	33%	65%
<b>Editorial Independence</b>	<b>Domain Score</b>	12.5	9.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	10
	<b>Scaled Domain Score Percentage</b>	86%	63%	46%	46%	46%	67%

\*Mean guidelines scores across domains of the AGREE II instrument. Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)