

Knee Pain–Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument*

Domain	Definition and Elements	Total Knee Arthroplasty. Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR.	American College of Radiology, ACR Appropriateness Criteria; nontraumatic knee pain, (2012), American College of Radiology.	American College of Radiology, ACR Appropriateness Criteria; primary bone tumors, (2013), American College of Radiology, 13 pgs.	Beaman FD, von Herrmann PF, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria((R)) Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR.	of the anterior cruciate	Bussières AE1, Taylor JA, Peterson C. Diagnostic imaging practice guidelines for musculoskeletal complaints in adultsan evidence-based approach. Part 1. Lower extremity disorders. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2007 Nov-Dec;30(9):684-717.
End Sea	rch Dates	Jun-17	undetermined	undetermined	Feb-16	Jan-07	Aug-06
Total	Score	119	110	112	111	108	105
Rigor of Develo	pment over 50%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall Assessment	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice? (Yes, Yes with modifications, No)	Yes, Yes with modifications	Yes with modifications, Yes	Yes, Yes with modifications	Yes, Yes with modifications	Yes	Yes
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: this is not included in the total score	5.5	5	5	5	5	5.5
Scope and Purpose	Domain Score	19	17.5	14.5	15.5	15.5	19
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	89%	81%				89%
Stakeholder Involvement	Domain Score	14	13	14.5	14.5	11.5	10
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage		56%	64%	64%	47%	39%
Rigor of Development	Domain Score	41.5	35.5	38	39	39.5	36
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage		57%	63%	65%	66%	58%
Clarity and Presentation	Domain Score						
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage			97%	92%	67%	78%
Applicability	Domain Score						
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage						33%
Editorial Independence	Domain Score					10.5	11
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage		50%	54%	50%	71%	75%

*Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

1 | For use only in connection with development of AUC by the CDI Quality Institute's Multidisciplinary Committee



Knee Pain–Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument*

Domain	Definition and Elements	Carey JL, Shea KG. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: Management of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries: Evidence-Based Guideline. The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2015;23(5):e6-8.	Guideline: diagnosis and treatment of osteochondritis dissecans. The Journal of the American Academy of	M, et al. BSR & BHPR, BOA, RCGP and BSAC guidelines for management of the hot	VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the non-surgical	Diercks RL, et al. Guideline on anterior cruciate ligament injury. Acta orthopaedica. 2012;83(4):379-86.	
End Sear	rch Dates	Jun-13	Mar-10	March 2005; no search date on 2017 1-page update	Dec-12	Dec-09	Apr-15
Total	Score	139	134	125	115	97	144
Rigor of Develop	pment over 50%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall Assessment	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice? (Yes, Yes with modifications, No)	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: this is not included in the total score	6	6	5	6	3.5	6.5
Scope and Purpose	Domain Score	18.5	18.5	18.5	18	19	19.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	86%	86%	86%	83%		
Stakeholder Involvement	Domain Score	18	17.5	16.5	16.5	15.5	20
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	83%	81%	75%	75%	69%	94%
Rigor of Development	Domain Score	52	49.5	41	40.5	32	48
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	92%	86%	69%	68%	50%	83%
Clarity and Presentation	Domain Score	18.5					
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage			75%			92%
Applicability	Domain Score	20		22.5	14.5	11	24.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	67%	60%	77%	44%	29%	85%
Editorial Independence	Domain Score	12			7		
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	83%	83%	67%	42%	13%	88%

*Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

2 | For use only in connection with development of AUC by the CDI Quality Institute's Multidisciplinary Committee



Knee Pain–Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument*

Domain	Definition and Elements	prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the	Parvizi J, Della Valle CJ, AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: diagnosis and treatment of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee, (2010) Rosemont, Illinois, AAOS, 277 pgs.	Robb G, Reid D, Arroll B, et al. General practitioner diagnosis and management of acute knee injuries: summary of an evidence- based guideline. The New Zealand medical journal. 2007;120(1249):U2419.	Rheumatology et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in the clinical management of peripheral joint osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017 Sep;76(9):1484-1494.	Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR. 2012;9(2):96-103.	Zhang W, Doherty M, Peat G, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. Annals of the rheumatic diseases. 2010;69(3):483-9.
End Sea	rch Dates	Mar-11	Jan-09	undetermined	Jan-15	Jun-13	Jan-08
Total	Score	109.5	130.5	115.5	108	116	113.5
Rigor of Develo	pment over 50%	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overall Assessment	Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice? (Yes, Yes with modifications, No)	Yes with modifications, Yes	Yes	Yes with modifications, no	Yes	Yes with modifications, Yes	Yes with modifications, Yes
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: this is not included in the total score	4.5	6	3	5	5.5	5
Scope and Purpose	Domain Score	17	16.5	18.5	16	18.5	16
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	78%	75%				
Stakeholder Involvement	Domain Score	13	18	18.5	11	14.5	14.5
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	56%	83%	86%	44%	64%	64%
Rigor of Development	Domain Score	35	47	36	41	38.5	41
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	56%	81%				
Clarity and Presentation	Domain Score	16.5	17.5				
	Scaled Domain Score Percentage		81%	81%	83%	97%	69%
Applicability	Domain Score Scaled Domain Score	18 58%	20 67%				
	Percentage						
Editorial Independence	Domain Score Scaled Domain Score	10 67%	11.5 79%		7 42%	0.0	
	Percentage						

*Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score)

3 | For use only in connection with development of AUC by the CDI Quality Institute's Multidisciplinary Committee

Knee Pain AUC - Evidence-based Guidelines Included in the Recommendation Matrix

Mean Guideline Scores and Scaled Scores across Domains of the AGREE II Instrument*

AGREE II Domain	AGREE II Domain Topics	ACR. Fox, Chang, Amini, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Knee Pain. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018; 15(115):S302-S312.	
Total Score EXCLUDING	120.5		
Overall Assessment	ing overall assessment score Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?	69.7% Yes	
Overall Assessment Score	Rate the overall quality of the guideline (Scale of 1 to 7) NOTE: The overall assessment score is not included in the total score	5.0	
	Domain Score	16	
Scope and Purpose	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	72.2%	
	Domain Score	18	
Stakeholder Involvement	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	83.3%	
	Domain Score	48	
Rigor of Development	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	83.3%	
	Domain Score	17.5	
Clarity and Presentation	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	80.56%	
	Domain Score	9	
Applicability	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	20.83%	
	Domain Score	12	
Editorial Independence	Scaled Domain Score Percentage	83.3%	

*Mean guidelines scores across domains of the AGREE II instrument. Each question was rated on a Likert scale with a maximum of 7 points. The scores are averaged for each of the 2 reviewers. The scaled domain score is calculated as follows: (obtained score – minimum possible score) ÷ (maximum possible score – minimum possible score) score)